DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 109 947

HE 006 516

TITLE

Follow-up Study of Tuition and Fee, Comparisons and Federal, Student Financial Aid Rankings. Washington State Council on Higher Education, INSTITUTION

Olympia.

PUB DATE NOTE

Apr 75 41p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE *Costs: Enrollment; Expenditures: Federal Aid; Federal Programs; *Fees; *Financial Support; Graduate Students; *Higher Education; Operating Expenses; 'Statistical Data; Tables (Data); *Tuition; Veterans

, I DENTIFIERS

*Washington

ABSTRACT.

This document is written in an attempt to clear up any confusion that exists concerning information presented on the question of tuition and fee increases. In conjunction with the certification of data, questions were asked concerning the following subjects: (1) The composition (makeup) of the total charges in terms of support for operating costs, capital expenditures, special student activitie, and services fees; (2) the extent of any differential charged to graduate students: (3) whether or not lower fees are charged to graduate students: (4) whether or not lower fees are charged to resident Vietnam veterans; and (5) plans for increasing tuition and fees for 1976-77. This survey was as extensive as possible and in the authors opinion reflected actual practice within the respective states. It was found worthwhile to follow-up on published sources when the State of Washington ranked either exceptionally high or exceptionally low in a particular category. The council staff checked both the aid amount information and the enrollment figures. In discussions with individuals who conducted the survey and with the National Center of Education Statistics, the U.S. Office of Education, it was determined that the dollars per student were based on an erroneous enrollment count for the State of Washington. Corrected tables along with the corrected enrollment information as published by the National Center of Education Statistics are attached to the document. (Author/KE)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. ***************** FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF

TUITION AND FEE COMPARISONS

_AND

FEDERAL STUDENT

FINANCIAL AID RANKINGS

U.S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

/ EDUCATION

THIS DOTUMENT HAS BEEN REPHO
DUCED TACTLY AS RÉCEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR OF ANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POL CY

April, 1975

Project Officer:

Jacquelin M. Johnson Program Assistant

COUNCIL ON HIGHER EDUCATION 908 East Fifth ° Olympia, Washington 98504

This report was prepared in order to clear up any confusion which may exist concerning information presented on the question of tuition and fee increases.

The provision of accurate information to the Governor and the Legislature is of great importance to the Council on Higher Education in all areas of its responsibility. We recognize that published data sources used by Council staff may contain inaccuracies and it is our practice to check the information for reasonability prior to its use. We, therefore, cannot leave unchallenged broad statements that the Council based its report on tuition and fees on inaccurate information.

In the past two weeks, the Council staff has contacted each of the states, other than Alaska and Hawaii, by telephone to verify the university tuition and fee data. Related questions were also asked concerning graduate differentials, fee reductions for veterans and future plans for tuition and fee increases. The responses to these questions are contained in this report. Information is also provided concerning updated community college tuition and fee levels. Washington's rank in federal student aid programs is also reviewed.

We regret the necessity of conducting this extensive follow-up survey of tuition and fees which essentially confirms the information we originally presented. It is our hope that any future debate on the subject of tuition and fees will focus on the issue of whether increases are necessary and whether the proposed rates are reasonable, rather than the accuracy of the data.

Follow-up Study of Tuition and Fee Comparisons and Washington's Ranking in Federal Student Financial Aid

In the preparation of background information and comparisons used in reports of the Council on Higher Education, it is the practice of the Council to use, whenever possible, surveys conducted by other agencies and national associations. Studies conducted by the Council have both referenced and contained published data from such sources as the Chronicle on Higher Education, American Association of University Professors (AAUP), National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges (NASULGC), and the American Association of State Colleges and Universities (AASCU): This approach is followed since the process of soliciting information from each state in the nation is extremely time consuming and costly and normally the information contained in these published sources is

It is also our practice to make telephone follow-ups in those cases where the information reported is inconsistent from patterns of previous years or where it appears an error might exist. For example, in reviewing tuition and fee information as published by the AASCU, it was apparent that questions existed in a significant number of cases. Through an extensive telephone survey, Council staff was able to both correct and verify the published tuition and fee data prior to the inclusion of the data in the Council report on tuition and fees. No such inconsistencies were evident in the report of the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges concerning universities and this survey was used without a follow-up check.

In view of public statements that the Council on Higher Education has used inaccurate information in this area, we felt it necessary in this instance to recheck the tuition and fee study conducted by the NASULGC. In conjunction with the verification of this data, questions were also asked concerning the following subjects:

The composition (makeup) of the total student charges in terms of support for:

- -Operating Costs
- -Capital Expenditures
- -Special Student Activities and Services Fees.

The extent of any differential charged to graduate students.

Whether or not lower fees are charged to resident Vietnam veterans.

Plans for increasing tuition and fees for 1976-7/.

Since no regularly published information exists regarding community college tuition and fees, the data employed by the Council was based upon telephone inquiries to the respective western states, with the exception of Oregon. Tuition and fee charges for Oregon community colleges were taken from the 1974-75 publication of Mapping Your Education. The survey was as extensive as possible and in our opinion reflected actual practice within the respective states.

In addition to allegations that the information used by the Council on Higher

Education in its report on tuition and fees was "inaccurate", persons

testifying against HB 453 have cited published data from the Chronicle on

Mapping Your Education, 1974-75. Douglas McClane, Editor. Abbot, Kerns, & Bell Company, 1974.

Higher Education concerning the State of Washington's ranking in receipt of Federal funds for student financial aid. This study conducted by the Stanford Research Institute indicated that the State of Washington ranked among the lowest states in the nation in receipt of such payments. In view of the surprising nature of these statistics and since we have found it worthwhile to follow-up on published sources when the State of Washington ranks either exceptionally high or exceptionally low in a particular category, the Council staff checked both the aid amount information and the enrollment figures which were used as the divisor. In discussions with individuals who conducted the survey and with the National Center for Educational Statistics, the U. S. Office of Education, it was determined that the dollars per student were based upon an erroneous enrollment count for the State of Washington. Corrected tables, along with the correct enrollment information as published by the National Center for Educational Statistics, are attached to this report.

Verification of Undergraduate Tuition and Fee Charges

The student charges published by NASULGC were verified by telephone in all states with the exceptions of Alaska, Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The respective telephone interviews were with the budget and finance division of the individual statewide boards of higher education, and in a few instances, with the appropriate office of a specific university.

For the purpos s of this survey, the stated student charges are those dollar amounts required of all full-time undergraduate students, resident and non-resident. Thus it is the full amount set by the institution for all undergraduates, or in the case of those institutions who charge on a straight "per credit hour" basis, the full-time student charges are for 30 semester hours or 45 quarter hours. This approach was used to provide information comparable, with the State of Washington.

Corrections to the 1974-75 NASULGC survey of student tuition and required fees at state universities and land-grant colleges are shown in Table I.

The complete list of student charges at state universities and land-grant colleges is shown in Table II. One institution in each state has been historically considered a comparison institution by the Council on Higher Education and is designated with an asterisk. This is consistent with the practice used in salary comparisons involving the "seven comparison states" and is important in multi-state comparisons which include the University of California as one institution yet lists the seven small campuses of the University of Maine as separate schools. These institutions

were used in Table V. of <u>Tuition and Fee Recommendations</u>, Council on Higher Education, December 1974, in the development of national comparison information, and normally are the "university of" the respective states or represent the primary campus of multi-campus institutions. In reviewing the information, it was found that Clemson University had been used in South Carolina when the University of South Carolina is the largest institution in that state. Therefore, University of South Carolina figures have been used as the comparison institution. The effect of the corrected information on the published comparisons is as follows:

1974-75 University Resident Undergraduate
Tuition And/Or Required Fees

,	Reported	Corrected
49 State Average	\$592	\$592
7 State Average	686	687
8 State Rank	(8)	(8)
-	(28)	(29)
8 State Rank National Rank		

1974-75 University Nonresident Undergraduate
a Tuition And/Or Required Fees

>	Reported	Corrected,
49 State Average	\$1563	\$1570
7 State Average	1961	1962
8 State Rank	(8)	(8)
National Rank	(22)	(22)
•	¥ .	

CORRECTIONS TO 1974-75 NASULGC SURVEY OF TUITION AND FEES

•		ported	•	ected
<u>Institution</u> · ·	Resident	Non-resident	Resident	Non-resident
University of California	\$644	\$21 44	This is the Tall eight c range is \$6 \$2121-\$2188	ampuses.∢ The 21-\$688 and
Lincoln Univ., Missouri University of Nebraska University of New Hampshire Univ. of Tennessee - Martin University of Houston University of Texas - Austi University of Vermont Univ. of Virginia	555 981 417 294 n: 322 1088 / 644	\$1665 1284 990 768 1858 2600 2800 630 1281 2281 1269 1154 1182 2788 1569	\$615 578 420 318 698 802.50 906.50 373 663 983 453 314. 342 1095.50 644	\$1695 1434 950 948 1848 2602:50 2802:50 643 1570.50 2283 1305 1394 1422 2795.50 1552 1248.50
West Virginia University Federal City College, D.C.	310 135	1140 930	、318.50 132	825

1974-75

STUDENT CHARGES STATE UNIVERSITIES AND LAND-GRANT COLLEGES

Institution		n and/or Required Fee
	Resident	Non-Resident
ALABAMA Alabama A & M University Auburn University *University of Alabama	\$330 549 595 [\$ 580 1074, 1190
ALASKA *University of Alaska-Fairbanks	\$472	\$1072
ARIZONA Arizona State University *University_of Arizona	*\$370 * 411 ·	\$1260 1401
ARKANSAS *University of Arkansas-Fayetteville	\$400	\$ 930
CALIFORNIA *University of California	\$ \$644	\$2144
COLORADO Colorado State University *University of Colorado-Boulder	\$609 638	\$1912 2070
CONNECTICUT *University of Connecticut	\$715 .	\$1715
DELAWARE Delaware State College *University of Delaware	\$352 720	\$ 927 1780
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Federal City College	.\$132	•
FLORIDA Florida A & M University Florida State University *University of Florida	5585 615 615	\$1665 1695 1695
GEORGIA Fort Valley State University Georgia Institute of Technology *University of Georgia	. \$441 549 543	\$ 981 1434 1263



TABLE II cont.

	Undergraduate Tuition and/	or Required Fees
Institution	Resident	Non-Resident
ſ.		
GUAM University of Guam	\$200	\$ 350
HAWAII *University of Hawaii-Manoa	\$350	\$1316
IDAHO *University of Idaho	\$380 ° ~	* · \$1380 .
ILLINOIS Southern Illinois UnivCarbondale University of Illinois-Chicago Circ *University of Illinois-Urbana	\$578 Te 636 690	\$1434 1626 - 1680
INDIANA *Indiana University-Bloomington Purdue University-W.Lafayette	\$722 750	\$1640 1700
IOWA Iowa State University .*University of Iowa	\$600 620	\$1434 1450
KANSAS Kansas State University *University of Kansas	\$532 573	\$1322 1363
KENTUCKY Kentucky State University *University of Kentucky	\$420 480	, \$ 950 1210
*LOUISIANA *Louisiana State University-Baton F Southern University - Baton Rouge	ouge \$320 318	\$1 050 948
MAINE *University of Maine-Orono University of Maine-Augusta University of Maine-Farmington University of Maine-Fort Kent University of Maine-Machias University of Maine-Portland-Gorho University of Maine-Presque Isle	\$587 462 485 480 485 516 480	\$1762 1512 1535 1530 1535 1516 1530
MARYLAND *University of Maryland-College Par University of Maryland-Eastern Sho University of Maryland-Baltimore)re 343	\$ 1 848 895 1848
	<u>.</u>	. /



TABLE II cont. .

•			
Institution	Undergraduate T Resident	uition and/o	Non-Resident
MASSACHUSETTS. *University of Massachusetts-Amherst University of Massachusetts-Boston	\$549 358		\$1349 1158
MICHIGAN Michigan State University *University of Michigan-Ann Arbor	\$720	•	\$1665 \$2602.50
lower division upper division Wayne State University lower divi upper divi		•	2802.50 2079 2274
MINNESOTA *University of Minnesota-Twin Cities	\$714 .		\$1677 - ·
MISSISSIPPI Mississippi State University *University of Mississippi	\$511 530	•	\$1111
 MISSOURI Lincoln University *University of Missouri-Columbia 	, \$373 580	• ;	\$ 643. 1660
MONTANA Montana State University *University of Montana	\$509 529 °.	ı	\$1481° 1501
NEBRASKA *University of Nebraska	\$663	9	\$1570.50
NEVADA University of Nevada-Las Vegas *University of Nevada-Reno	. \$532 524	~'	\$1732 1724
NEW HAMPSHIRE *University of New Hampshire	\$983		\$2283
NEW JERSEY *Rutgers University	\$725	•	\$1310
NEW MEXICO New Mexico State University *University of New Mexico-Albuquerqu	, \$474 e 456		\$1304 1285
NEW YORK City University of New York Cornell University	\$ 70 1500	•	\$1270 - 2100
*State University of New York lower division upper division	\$750 900	*	\$1175 % 1400 •

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE II cont.

	Institution	Undergraduate Tuiti Resident	on and/or Required Ree Non-Resident
	NORTH CAROLINA North Carolina State North Carolina A & T State University *University of North Carolina-Chapel H	\$487 540 ill 453	\$2033 2073 1997
	NORTH DAKOTA North Dakota State University *University of North Dakota	\$435 . 467	\$1164 1195
•	OHIO Kent State University Miami University-Oxford *Ohio State University	\$810 840 780	\$2010 2040 1830
	OKLAHOMA Oklahoma State University *University of Oklahoma	\$464 445	\$1244 1225
	OREGON Oregon State University *University of Oregon	\$561 573	\$1821 ° - 1833
,	PENNSYLVANIA *Penn State University Temple University University of Pittsburgh	\$960 1050 1024	. \$2160 1950 . 2014
•	PUERTO RICO University of Puerto Rico	\$165.	\$ 165
	RHODE ISLAND *University of Rhode Island	\$796 ^{፻.} የ	\$1696
	SOUTH CAROLINA Clemson University South Carolina State College *University of South Carolina	\$640 500 584	\$1340 980 1294
	SOUTH DAKOTA , South Dakota State University *University of South Dakota	\$613; 584	\$1353 1280
1	TENNESSEE Tennessee State University *University of Tennessee-Knoxville University of Tennessee-Chattanooga University of Tennessee-Martin University of Tennessee-Nashville	\$ 374 417 434 453 372	\$1214 1269 1286 1305 1224
		14	•

- 13 -

TABLE II cont.

Institution	Undergraduate Tuition Resident	n and/or Required Fees Non-Resident
TEXAS Prairie View A & M Texas A & M University Texas Southern University Texas Technical University University of Houston *University of Texas-Austin	\$285 - 280 365 284 314	\$1581 1360 1445 1364 1394 1422
UTAH Utah State University AUniversity of Utah	\$ 453 \\ 480	\$1008 1245
VERMONT	\$1095.50	\$2795.50
VIRGIN ISLANDS . College of the Virgin Islands	· \$314	\$ 814
VIRGINIA *University of Virginia-Charlottesvill Virginia Poly. Inst. & State Universi Virginia State College	e \$644 ty 627 738	\$1552 1227 1198
WASHINGTON *University of Washington *Washington State University	\$564 564	\$1581 1581
WEST VIRGINIA *West Virginia University-Morrantown.	\$318.50	\$1248:50
WISCONSIN *University of Wisconsin-Madison lower division upper division	\$573 648	\$1906 2204
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee lower division upper division	573 648	\$1906 2204
WYOMING *University of Wyoming	\$410	\$1376



"Operating Fee" Portion

Table III on the following page separates out student tuition and fees allocated to operating expenses* in 35 of the 50 comparison universities. The remaining 15 did not respond to the question because they did not have the information, the categorical allocations changed every year, or the information was for internal use only. Of the 35 institutions responding, the average percentage of student fees used for institutional operations was 68 percent.

Only 12 of the 35 states could clearly identify that portion of student fees that were being allocated to debt service on instructional facili-It was frequently stated that this was allocated from the state general funds only. The area of student activities and special fees covers a very wide range of expenditures, including debt service for dormitories, athletic complexes, convention centers, student union buildings, performance centers, health facilities, and parking facilities. There are also allocations to a wide range of "student activities", including athletics, intramurals, student union operations, health programs, alumni associations, artists series, creative arts, transportation, financial aids, and recreation. It should be noted that only those fees required of all full-time students have been included in the total student charges. Any optional fees such as health insurance or parking fees have <u>not</u> been included. At some institutions health programs and parking are included, in their required fees, however, these are not optional programs and generally relate to facilities maintenance. .



10

^{*}This portion is normally termed "tuition" in most states. Washington's "tuition" is dedicated to capital construction.

TABLE III

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT TOTAL TUITION AND FEES ALLOCATED TO OPERATING EXPENSES

University of California		RESIDENT UNDERGRADUATE TUITION & FEES	ALLOCATED TO OPERATING EXPENSES	% OF STUDENT T&F USED FOR OPERATING
University of ColoradoBoulder	University of California	• \$644	\$600	93%
University of Connecticut 715 350 49% University of Florida 615 357 58% University of Georgia 543 435 80% University of Idaho 380 0 0 0% University of IllinoisUrbana 690 500 72% Indiana University 722 556 78% University of Iowa 620 567 91% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kentucky 480 444 93% University of MaineOrono 587 575 98% 'University of MassachusettsAmherst 549 300 55% University of MinnesotaTwin Cities 714 567 79% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 State University of North Dakota 467 354 76% University of Orono 573 429 75% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Wab-Charlottesville 417 372 89% University of Wab-Charlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0 0%*	University of ColoradoBoulder		476	75%
University of Florida	University of Connecticut		350 ¹	49%
University of Georgia	University of Florida	615	357	58%
University of Idaho 380 0 0% University of IllinoisUrbana 690 500 72% Indiana University 722 556 78% University of Iowa 620 567 91% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of MaineOrono 587 575 98% University of MassachusettsAmherst 549 300 55% University of MinnesotaTwin Cities 714 567 79% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 220.50 48% State University of New York 825 (ave.) 725 (ave.) 88% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of VACharlottesville 417 376 67% West Virginia University -Morgantown 318.50 0 0 0%*		543	435	80%
University of IllinoisUrbana 690 500 72% Indiana University 722 556 78% University of Iowa 620 567 91% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of MaineOrono 587 575 98% University of MassachusettsAmherst 549 300 55% University of MinnesotaTwin Cities 714 567 79% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University T25 585 81% University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 220.50 48% State University of New York 825 (ave.) 725 (ave.) 88% University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill 453 330 73% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia University -Morgantown 318.50 0 0 0%*		380	0	
Indiana University of Iowa 620 567 91% University of Iowa 620 567 91% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kansas 573 410 72% University of Kentucky 480 444 93% University of MaineOrono 587 575 98% University of MassachusettsAmherst 549 300 55% University of MinnesotaTwin Cities 714 567 79% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University 725 585 81% University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 220.50 48% State University of New York 825(ave.) 725(ave.) 88% University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill 453 330 73% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Dakota 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 240 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0 0%*		690	500	
University of Iowa University of Kansas University of Kentucky University of Maine-Orono S87 University of MassachusettsAmherst University of MassachusettsAmherst University of MinnesotaTwin Cities University of Montana University of Nebraska University of Nebraska University of NevadaReno Rutgers University University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New York University of North Dakota University of North Dakota University of Oregon University of Oregon University of Oregon University of Oregon University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Washington University of Washington S64 University of Washington S64 University of Washington S64 University of Washington S64 S62 S67 S67 S67 S75 S85 S87 S76 S68 S87 S75 S85 S81 S87 S87 S87 S88 S88 S85 S85 S86 S87 S87 S87 S87 S87 S88 S88 S88 S88 S88		722	556	
University of Kansas University of Kentucky University of MaineOrono S87 University of MaineOrono S87 University of MassachusettsAmherst University of MinnesotaTwin Cities University of Montana University of Nebraska University of Nebraska University of NevadaReno Rutgers University University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New MexicoAlbuquerque University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New York University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill University of North Dakota University of North Dakota University of Oklahoma University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University, of Rhode Island University of South Carolina University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of Utah University of Utah University of Utah University of Utah University of Washington University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0 444 424 444 445 446 447 448 448 448 448 448 448 448 448 449 448 449 449				
University of Kentucky University of MaineOrono 587 575 98% University of MassachusettsAmherst 549 University of MinnesotaTwin Cities University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 University of NevadaReno 725 808 Rutgers University University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 State University of New York University of North Dakota University of North Dakota University of Oregon University of Oregon University of Oregon University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of Utah University of Utah University of Utah University of Washington University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318,50 0 0 93% 300 444 93% 938 938 938 930 938 930 938 939 930 938 930 938 937 949 938 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 939 930 938 938 938 939 930 938 938 938 939 938 938 938 938 938 938	University of Kansas	[*] 573		
University of MaineOrono		480		
*University of MassachusettsAmherst University of MinnesotaTwin Cities 714 567 79% University of Montana 529 297 56% University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University 725 585 81% University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 220.50 48% State University of New York 825 (ave.) 725 (ave.) 88% University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill 453 330 73% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 244 42% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of Washington 564 University of Washington 564 University of Washington 564 University of Washington 564 University of Washington 318.50 0		587		
University of MinnesotaTwin Cities University of Montana University of Nebraska University of NevadaReno Sea	"University of MassachusettsAmherst			
University of Nebraska 663 540 81% University of NevadaReno 524 260 50% Rutgers University 725 585 81% University of New MexicoAlbuquerque 456 220.50 48% State University of New York 825 (ave.) 725 (ave.) 88% University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill 453 330 73% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0	University of MinnesotaTwin Cities			
University of Nebraska University of NevadaReno Rutgers University University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New York State University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill University of North Dakota University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of Oregon University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of South Carolina University of South Carolina University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TennesseeKnoxville University of Utah University of Utah University of Washington University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 663 81% 240 826 827 828 828 828 828 828 828	University of Montana			
University of New MexicoAlbuquerque	University of Nebraska			
Rutgers University University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New York University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill University of North Dakota Ohio State University University of Oklahoma University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of South Carolina University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of Utah University of Washington University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 725 888 220.50 48% 725(ave.) 725(ave.) 88% 725(ave.) 88% 725(ave.) 88% 725 88% 725 89% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76				
University of New MexicoAlbuquerque State University of New York 825 (ave.) 725 (ave.) 88% University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill 453 330 73% University of North Dakota 467 354 76% Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0	Rutgers University			
State University of New York University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill University of North Dakota University of North Dakota University of Oklahoma University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of Oregon University of South Carolina University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of Utah University of WACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown University of University of UniversityMorgantown University of UniversityMorgantown University of University	University of New MexicoAlbuquerque			
University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill University of North Dakota University of North Dakota University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of Rhode Island University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 467 354 76% 360 81% 467 370 81% 470 77% 429 75% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 614 617 617 618 619 619 614 619 619 610 610 610 610 611 611	State University of New York			
University of North Dakota Ohio State University University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of Rhode Island University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 467 780 630 81% 630 81% 644 429 75% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 77% 614 617 617 618 619 619 619 619 619 619 619 619 619 619	University of N. CarolinaChapel Hill			
Ohio State University 780 630 81% University of Oklahoma 445 367.50 83% University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Rhode Island 796 614 77% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%**	University of North Dakota			
University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Rhode Island 796 614 77% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 72% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	Ohio State University			
University of Oregon 573 429 75% University of Rhode Island 796 614 77% University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	University of Oklahoma			
University of South Carolina 584 244 42% University of South Dakota 584 420 70% University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*				
University of South Carolina University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 584 244 427 428 420 709 480 480 395 82% 480 480 480 395 644 415 645 647 415 647 415 647 648 668	University of Rhode Island			
University of South Dakota University of TennesseeKnoxville University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 584 420 420 420 420 420 420 420 420 420 42	University of South Carolina			
University of TennesseeKnoxville 417 372 89% University of TexasAustin 342 100 29% University of Utah 480 395 82% University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	University of South Dakota			
University of TexasAustin University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 342 480 395 644 415 647 415 648 008 008*	University of TennesseeKnoxville	`		
University of Utah University of VACharlottesville University of Washington West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 480 644 415 64% 415 60% 60% 60% 60%	University of TexasAustin			
University of VACharlottesville 644 415 64% University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	University of Utah			
University of Washington 564 336 60% West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	University of VACharlottesville			
West Virginia UniversityMorgantown 318.50 0 0%*	University of Washington			
	West Virginia UniversityMorgantown			
	University of Wyoming	410.50	301.50	/3%

^{*}Deposited in state general fund and appropriated.

Differential Tuition and Fee Charges to Graduate Students

Of the 48 states contacted, 22 had some form of differential tuition and fee structure between their undergraduate and graduate students.

Table IV on Page 19 is broken into seven sections and shows the various patterns of tuition differentials used by comparison institutions. The figures are for full academic year or in the case of per credit hour charges, a total of 20 semester or 30 quarter credit hours has been used.

- Section A -- Universities which charge an equal dollar increase to both resident and nonresident graduate students.
- Section B -- Universities which increase the charges to resident graduate students only.
- Section C -- Universities which increase resident graduate charges and

 decrease nonresident tuition and fees relative to nonresident

 undergraduate charges.
- Section D -- Universities which charge a greater differential to nonresident than to resident graduate students.
- Section E -- New York which charges a greater differential to residents than to nonresident graduate students.
- Section F -- Connecticut which charges less to all full-time graduate students than to full-time undergraduates.
- Section G -- Louisiana which charges no increase to resident graduate students, and charges less to non-resident graduates.

In addition, the University of Florida charges a \$3.50 per credit hour difference to all graduate students; however, since they are taking fewer credit hours, the actual dollar amount of total charges is less at the graduate level.

At the University of Indiana, University of Nevada-Reno, and University of . Oklahoma, the crédit hour charge is the same for undergraduate and graduate students, but again, the taking of fewer credit hours makes the dollar amount less at the graduate level.

COMPARISON INSTITUTIONS' TUITION AND FEE CHARGES TO GRADUATE STUDENTS TABLE IV

	•	~	.•	•		•	;
nts Difference	\$ 50 81 30 60) "	\$-408 -309 -996 -150	\$ 150 1080 360 160 50 579	\$ 312.50	\$-511	\$-430
Non-Resident Students duate Graduate Di	\$1260 1245 1299 1641	\$2144 1310 1830 1552	\$1440 1040 837 1098.50	\$1584 3780 2037 1856 1330 2634	, , , , ave) 1600	\$1204	\$ 620
Non-Res Undergraduate	Graduate Students \$1210 1164 1269 1581	\$2144 1310 1830 '	Students \$1848 1349 1833 • 1248.50	\$1434 2700 (ave) 1677 1696 1280 2055	\$1287.50 (ave)	\$1715	ent Students 51050
uts <u>Difference</u>	Nonresident Grad \$ 50 • 81 30 60	\$ 60 115 180 60	to Nonresident \$242 41 264 30	t Students \$105- 615.50 174 40 25 185.50	Student \$475	. \$-11	ises to Nonresident
Resident Students ate Graduate	Resident and 1 \$530 • 516 447 624	Students Only \$704 840 960 687	- Decreases \$940 590 837 348.50	to Nonresident \$705 (ave) 1470 888 . 836 609 796	Resident \$1300	e Students \$ 704	nts - Decreases \$320
Resi Undergraduate	1) for 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Resident \$644 725 780 627	Resident Students . \$698 ts 549 573 318.50	Charged \$600 854.50 714 796 584 610.50	Charged to \$825 (ave)	s to Graduate \$715	sident Students \$320
	Section A: Equal Dollar Differentia University of Kentucky University of North Dakota 43 University of Tennessee 41 University of Washington 58	Section B: Increased Charges to University of California Rutgers University Ohio State University University of Wirginia	to set ty	Section D: Larger Differentials University of Iowa University of Michigan University of Minnesota-University of Rhode Island University of South Dakota University of Wisconsin	Section E: Larger Differential State University of New York	Section F: Decrease in Charges to University of Connecticut \$7	& Section G: No Increases to Residen Louisiana.State University \$3
\ Institution	Section A: University o University o University o	Section B: Increased University of Californ Rutgers University Ohio State University University of Wirginia	Section C: Increases University of Maryland University of Massachu University of Oregon West Virginia Universi	Section D: Larger University of Iowa University of Minn University of Rhod University of Sout	Section E: State Unive	Section E: University	& Section G: Louisiana.S

State Lèvel Veterans' Educational Benefits

In view of the State of Washington policy of charging lower tuition and fees to Vietnam veterans, the question was asked of each state if they had a separate and lower tuition and fee schedule for veterans. The following information concerning state level benefits for veterans in public institutions of higher education came from several sources. Conversations with State Departments of Veterans' Affairs, Boards of Regents and Councils on Higher Education were cross-checked with state veterans' laws. In a few cases, legislation is more recent than the federal information and therefore does not appear in the publication. In parenthesis is the current tuition (operating) portion that would be waived at the comparison university of that state.

California - After exhaustion of federal educational benefits, \$100 per month for a maximum of 12 months is available for full-time training at an accredited institution.

Colorado - One-half of the "weighted average tuition" may be waived with a maximum of \$181.50 per year to resident veterans.

Connecticut - Full *tuition" waiver to resident veterans (\$350 per year).

Covers 209 veterans at University of Connecticut, all campuses.

Delaware - \$100 per year "scholarship" to each resident veteran.

Illinois - Full "tuition" waiver for resident veterans (\$574 per year).

Covers 900 veterans at University of Illinois, Urbana campus.

House Committee on Veterans' Affairs, State Veterans: <u>Laws, Digests of State Laws Regarding Rights, Benefits, and Privileges of Veterans and Their Dependents, Revised to January 1, 1974</u> (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974).

Iowa # "Tuition" waived after age of 21 for as many months as were spent in the armed forces prior to age 21 (\$567 per year).

Massachusetts - Full "tuition" waiver to resident veterans (\$300).

Minnesota - "Tuition" waiver of up to \$250 per year for resident veterans who have exhausted their federal educational benefits.

Montana - "Tuition" waiver for resident veterans who have exhausted their federal educational benefits.

Oregon - State G.I. Bill program of \$50 per month undergraduate and \$35 per month graduate student for resident veterans holding Vietnam Service Medal or Armed Forces Expedition Medal.

South Dakota - "Tuition" waiver for resident veterans who have exhausted their federal educational benefits.

Texas - "Tuition" waiver for resident veterans who have exhausted their federal educational benefits.

Washington - Resident veterans exempt from tuition and fee increases after May, 1971 (\$132 per year).

Wisconsin - \$100 per year for single veteran or \$200 per year for married resident veteran is available based on need. Wisconsin uses a very liberal definition of need which does not include summer work or income of spouse.

While these are basic statewide benefits, it is possible that there are institutional policies of waivers that are not based on state legislation concerning veterans' educational benefits. .

Plans For Increasing Tuition and Fees For 1976-77

The question of proposed or approved increases in tuition and fees for 1976-77 was discussed with each of the states. With their respective legislatures in session at this time, it was not possible to get a final picture of what will be happening in each state next year. In some cases, respective higher education governing boards have the final decision on the setting of tuition and fees and their decision is based on the budget which is approved by the legislature. Twenty-five of the forty-eight states indicated that increases were approved, planned or being considered for the 1975-76 academic year. An overview of those states which were able to provide information concerning increases is as follows:

- 1) Arizona Will increase resident tuition and fees by \$30 and non-resident tuition and fees by \$310. This increase will become effective in 1976-77, although it was approved in September, 1974.
- 2) Colorado Has indicated that there will be an increase, but it is not known how much. While the dollar amount is not yet available, resident students pay 25 percent, non-resident students pay 100 percent and community college students pay 20 percent of operating costs based on the previous year's total costs. Total cost excludes rentals, intercollegiate athletics, student financial aid, and capital expenditures. When the 1974-75 cost study is completed, the 1975-76 tuition and fees will be set.
- Connecticut Has proposed an increase in required student fees only. Amount unknown at this time.



- Delaware Has indicated an increase will be made, but it is not known how much.
- Florida Will be going to a lower division/upper division split on tuition and fees. For the undergraduate resident this will be a \$1 and \$2`increase per credit hour. The non-resident increase will be zero at the lower division and \$10 per credit hour at the upper division. Graduate increases will be \$3.50 per credit hour for residents and \$16.50 per credit hour for non-residents.
- Georgia Has approved increases to resident undergraduates ranging from \$51 to \$75, and increases to non-residents ranging from \$159 to \$246, dependent on the various institutions.
- Idaho Has approved at least a \$10 per year increase to both 7) resident and non-resident students. Although the amount could be more, the exact increase will not be available until July.
- Illinois In 1976-77, undergraduate resident charges will 8) be one-third of total cost, with graduate residents paying one-third above that. Non-resident undergraduates will be paying 100 percent of cost, and non-resident grads will pay one-third above that. The dollar amount of this increase is not yet available.
- Iowa Undergraduate increases for 1975-76 at the two universities 9) will be resident (\$60 and \$62) and non-resident (\$96 and \$100). For graduate students, resident increases will be \$69 and \$70) and non-resident grads will be increased (\$66 and \$100).
- Kansas Resident undergraduates must pay 25 percent of the operating costs. Using this criteria as a basis, all charges

will be appropriately increased based on 1974-75 cost analysis.

- 11) Maine The Governor's budget is less than last year, and at this time it was only indicated that increased tuition and fees was "a possible alternative".
- Nevada A change will be made in 1975-76 to the lower division/
 upper division split which will cause an increase in lower
 division to \$76 and \$84 and in upper division to \$140 and \$148.

 The increase will be the same for resident and non-resident
 undergraduates as the non-resident tuition of \$600 will remain
 the same.
- New Hampshire Dependent on the Governor's budget, the Board of Trustees is anticipating the need for up to a 50 percent increase to residents, and a 14 percent increase to non-residents for tuition and fees.
- 14) New Jersey Possible increases are being discussed, with the proposed increases being assigned to non-resident and graduate students. No figures are available.
- 15) North Carolina Will be increasing fees by \$15 and \$37 per year.
- 16) North Dakota It appears there will be an increase of an average of \$48 per student. No figures were available on the proposed distribution of this increase.
- 17) Oklahoma Is considering an increase in student fees.
- 18) Oregon Dependent on the Governor's budget, it is anticipated that resident tuition and fees could increase as much as 17 percent in 1975-76 and an additional 7 percent in 1976-77. Non-resident students pay 100 percent of costs and are increased each year according to previous year's cost analysis.

- 19) Pennsylvania Increases will be dependent on the Governor some budget, and it is possible that they will be about \$50 per year per full-time student.
- 20) Rhode Island Undergraduate increases will approximate 15 percent and graduate increases are expected to be somewhat greater than 15 percent.
- 21) South Dakota All categories of students will pay an increase of \$2.50 per credit hour. (This is just over a 17 percent increase for resident students.)
- 22) Tennessee An increase of "10 percent or less" is being considered.
- 23) Utah The legislature has put a ceiling of \$24 on resident increases and \$90 on non-resident increases. The proposed resident increase is \$48 per year. The actual increases have not been decided, however.
- 24) Vermont Increases to resident students will be \$95 per year and to non-resident students will be \$215 per year.
- 25) West Virginia A maximum of \$50 per year increase is being considered.
- 26) Wisconsin No figures were available. Increases will be dependent on proposed salary increases.

Of those states indicating no proposed increases in tuition and fees in 1975-76, several stated that the 1974-75 rates represented increases over the 1973-74 charges.

Tuition and Fee Charges in Community Colleges

Table V is reprinted from <u>Tuition and Fee Recommendations</u>, Council on Higher Education, December 1974. Since no national published data are available on community colleges, this information was obtained by Council staff from telephone conversations with appropriate state agencies. The amounts in parentheses are those presented to the House Committee on Higher Education by the Council of Representatives and Presidents (CORP) to demonstrate that the Council on Higher Education table was inaccurate.

TABLE V

TUITION AND FEE VALUES IN COMMUNITY COLLEGES
(TWO-YEAR COLLEGES) FOR NINE WESTERN STATES

State	Resident In-District	Tuition and Fees Out-of-District	Nonresident Tuition and Fees
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Nevada Oregon Utah Wyoming	\$119 ₁ (90) 305 311 336 307 (305) 333 260	\$1,007 (90) 350 (0) 305 311 336 479 (437.54) 333 4274	\$1,212 (1250) 800-2000 ² (960). 1,135 873 496 1,689 (999.62) 794 630
.Median	\$307	\$ 336	\$1,089
Washington	\$249	\$ 249	\$ 681
Rank of Nine	7	9	9,

NOTE: The tuition and fee data were obtained via telephone interviews with the appropriate state agencies.

It should be noted that even if the CORP figures were correct, they support the basic conclusion of the table; that Washington tuition and fees are lower than the majority of western states.

¹ Tuition only -- other required student fees not available.

 $^{^{2}\}mathrm{Fees}$ based on full costs two years prior to the year in question

The following is the reconciliation of reporting differences between the Council on Higher Education and the Council of Representatives and Presidents:

Arizona - The in-district (\$90) CORP reports appears to be Maricopa County Junior College only. Maricopa has campuses at Glendale, Mesa, Pheonix, and Scottsdale. The Council on Higher Education survey includes eight additional institutions, thus the average of \$119.

The out-of-district fee (\$90) which CORP reports again appears to be the Maricopa County Junior College. Of the fourteen counties in Arizona, nine have community colleges. Students from the other five counties have their out-of-district tuition paid (by law) by the County Board of Supervisions of their home county regardless of what institution they attend in the community college system. Students in the nine community college counties have their out-of-district fees waived by reciprocal agreements in seven counties. In Maricopa and Pima counties, there is no such agreement and out-of-district fees are charged. The policies of these two counties is intended to discourage enrollments as they are the two largest districts in the state. The correct figures for Arizona out-of district should show a range of \$90 (minimum fees not waived) to \$1020 (Pima out-of-district).

Arizona nonresident fees reported by CORP (\$1250) is again Maricopa County, however, this is inaccurate for Maricopa County itself. The Council on Higher Education report of \$1,212 is an average which includes Maricopa County campuses at \$1340 (\$1250 nonresident tuition plus \$90 in required fees).

California - The out-of-district (\$350) reported by the Council on Higher Education strikes the average cost to the student whose county of residence refuses to pay his tuition. In California, the state pays a minimum basic aid charge of \$125 per student regardless of what district he attends. Regarding out-of-district students, their county of residence is billed by their county of attendance for tuition charges above the state basic aid. These charges vary with an average of \$350. In an attempt to equalize enrollments, some students are denied this payment by their county of residence. When this is the case, the student would then be required to pay the out-of-district tuition and fees. The range shown (\$800-\$2,000) for nonresident students is an accurate figure. Since this charge is set by the individual districts, and they are not required to report to the State Board, no accurate average was attempted. However, Mr. Earl Leight, California State Board for Community Colleges, stated he "felt it would be over \$1000 at this time".

The community college systems of Arizona and California have interdistrict agreements that make any average of out-of-district costs difficult. The average in these states is considered as pertaining to those students not covered by any county reciprocal agreement. The percentage of enrolled students paying the out-of-district charges was not available from the respective state agencies.

Oregon - Making follow-up calls to reconcile the reporting differences between CHE and CORP in Oregon demonstrated current tuition and fee trends. Council staff originally used data from, Mapping Your Education, a widely used publication offering an overview of all Washington and

Oregon institutions of higher education, including 1974-75 student tuition and fees at all institutions. While these student charges were correct at the time of publication, they are not accurate at this time due to tuition increases in many of the Oregon community colleges. Therefore, it is necessary in this report to update the Council survey in Oregon as follows:

		In-District	Out-of-District	ı	Nonresident
Oregon	-	`\$309	\$4.84	•	\$1,102

The effect of the update of the information was to leave most items unchanged, to indicate that a range of charges exists for Arizona out-of-district fees, and to reflect higher than previously reported tuition and fees for all categories of students in Oregon.

In fairness, though not mentioned in the CORP testimony, the Washington ranking in the nonresident category should have been 7 instead of 9.

Student Financial Aid Programs

In the January 27, 1975 issue of the <u>Chronicle of Higher Education</u>, data were published on Growth of U.S. Student Aid Programs as compiled by the Stanford Research Institute. Based on this data, Washington ranked either 49th or 51st in dollars per full-time equivalent degree credit undergraduate student in each of the five federal student aid programs. This information was presented in testimony in opposition to House Bill 453 before the House Committee on Higher Education. In checking this data for accurácy with the Stanford Research Institute, it became apparent to Council staff that the wrong enrollment divisor had been used to compute the average dollars per student.

Table VI on the following page shows the state by state funds to the five programs as published by the <u>Chronicle on Higher Education</u>, January 27, 1975. Table VII lists the correct enrollments for each state as published in <u>Fall Enrollment in Higher Education 1972</u> which were then used consistently by Council staff in computing the dollar per student totals in each of the various federal programs. Tables IX through XIII are the corrected ranking and dollars per student in each program, with Table VIII showing the composit ranking and dollars per student for all five programs.

Using corrected enrollment information, it is apparent that Washington ranks as the median state (25th) in total dollars per student as a composite of all five federally funded programs.

3 1



Growth of U.S. Student-Aid Programs

State-by-state funds for fiscal years 1968 and 1973

	Seein Educational Opportunity Grant	nity Grants		College Work Study	_	Guaranteed	Student	Loans
ı	1968 1 1973	Syear change	2	1973	Syser	. 1961		S-year change
Vabame	\$1,2		\$3,386,964	\$5,802,000	•	\$3,277,555	\$11,180,516	+241%
Maska	33,619			328		1/0,035	180,012	+ 0% + 25,5
Arizona	408.791		1,233	200,000	_	1.514.283	3.551.709	+135%
Arkanses	4.288.069	•	14.043.914	24.471,000	+ 74%	25,528,551	m	+309%
Colorado	529 872	,	1.846.965	539	,	7,128,958	22,029,344	+509%
Connecticut	329,611	•	1,463,032	2,953,000	+101%	18,014,822	9	+ 67%
Delaware	118,083	•	271,172	623	—	584,704	984,383	%000 +
District of Columbia	220,101	••	852,937 3.601.075	7,377,000	+120	5,458,855	21,939,728	+299%
Correla	1 204 005		1 989 501	11 ₩	100	5,504,463	10,885,082	%86 +
Havail	56,704		542,283	1,093,000	+101%	1,909,279	2,399,274	+ 26%
dabo	120,199	•	618,851	o i	-	31 437 706	, c	+482%
Infols	632,059		2,041,745	5,403,000	+164%	6,490,316	1 ⊘ [(1)
OWB	683.222		1,742,555	3,926,000		8,605,241	19,279,760	+124% +274%
Kentses	877 036 877 036		2,720,942	4.772.000	++ 101%	3,588,327	·œ	_
Louisiana	1,228,670		2,685,140	6,076,000	+126%	3,654,437	9,756,834 6,150,611	+167% +201%
Mandand	541,192 684 503		1 104 303	4 036 000	11%	3.018.825	100	
Massachusetts	1.191.247		6.289,340	392	γœ	16,597,077	8,666,9	
Richigan	1,481,581		3,901,908	584	14	7,357,288	ເບັເ	
Winnesota	935,808		2,869,480	6,295,000 4 RB5 000	_	10,202,939	7,020,366	+136%
Miccouri	790 687		2 303 117	5 426 000	17	2.429.657	15,551,819	
Montana	139,687		2.576.281	2,101,000	•	2,037,100	4,306,259	
Nebraska	434,846		998,419	2,023,000		2,433,544	11,051,631	
Nevada New Hamoshim	32,176 113,325		280,375 601,991	450,000 1.514,000	+ 60% +151%	1,750,669	2,631,339	+ 50%
	010 710 .		2 847 534	6 230 000		24.993.871	47,635,552	
New Jersey	1,264,258		1,110,790	1.798.000	•	1,286,907	10,024,750	·
New York	6.063,803		10,653,259	19,263,000	,	102,995,091	174,065,344	%69 +
	1,660,050		3,967,161	8,181,000	+105% + 65%	1,384,860	10,998,186	
Objection Denotes	1.544.420		4,051,356	11,059,000	:-	10,661,063	29,042,560	+172%
Oklahoma	933,720		2,161,525	3,522,000	+ 62%	2,260,030	5,759,501 9,839,128	+133%
Oregon Pennadvania	2,658,629		5,882,082	12,091,000	_	32,584,615	88,743,920	+172%
Rhode Island	180,957		404.659	1,150,000	+184%	3,194,683	8,338,687	
	797,062		1,143,366	4,483,000	+292%	1,223,597	1,888,936	+ 54% +290%
Tennessee	1.087.339		3,467,098	5,964,000		4,878,423	10,291,798	
Texas	3,174,154		7,518,505	14,207,000	· + 88% • • 16%	9,708,444	65,150,640 5,793,303	+571% -+ 69%
Vernort	158 716		360.309	749,000	<u> </u> 6	1,791,698	3,053,598	1
Virginia	891,887		1,372,185	5,606,000	308	7,011,028	S	+ 94%
Washington	640,368		2,689,069	4,667,000	20	2,860,022	25	~
West Virginia	386,234 598,587		3,762,043	7,240,000	+ 92% + 92%	6,687,065	26,077,024	
Wyoming	72,678		415,503	564,000	35	646,593	2	+108%
-								

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE vI (Continued)

	National	Direct Student	Loans	Supplemental	Educational Oppo	rtunity Grants
	1968	1973	Eyest chenge	1968	1973	E-year charge
Alabama	\$2,686,305	\$4 ,339,000		\$3,487,390	33,454,000	\$2 0 0 0 0
Alaska	76,302	82	~	62,580	455,000	+627%
Arizona	1,815,652	7		1,184,950	2,259,000	% % +
Arkansas	1,852,883	2,279,000	25° 37° +	1,550,44 0,44,050,4	23,719,000	- 20% +176%
	X I	i	٩1	5,5,7,5	200 100 0	1004
Colorado	2,743,000	4,164,000	+ 51%	1,982,840	2,824,000	+ 1 2 2 %
Connecticut	m	, 8	* % % * ·	1,531,300	26,000	% 2,2 + +
Delaware	v	, u	%047+	2010	239,000	+144%
District of Cojumpia	4 543 174	7.857.000	**************************************	3.133.560	5327.000	%69 +
	199	4 913 000	7,099 T	W	3. M6.000	×+ 32%
Ceordia	6	1.245,000		. –	800,000	+308%
Make Care	604.434	1.216,000	+101%	¥	823,000	%+ 67 %
	9,041,649	14,260,000	_	6,429,725	10,550,000	+ + 2 : % :
Indiana	3,571,432	7,503,000	+ 39%	4.0.9,100	4.475,000	0/11
[OWB	3,719,794	5,081,000	%% +-	3,246,830	2,640,000	%% + 1
Kansas	3,308,795	7.11000	+ 25% + 36%	2,649,605	2,362,000	12%
Confejens	3,000,391	4 850,000	+ 1 %6%	2.296,670	3,278,000	+\42%
Maria Company	793,022	1,189,000	, + 49%	679,900		+247%
Meryland	1.834.016	4.450.000	1	1,319,350		+134%
Massachusetts	198,87	537	+ 46%	4,945,790		+ 36%
Mchigan	743,01	718,	+ 45%	6,097,311		% + (6)
Minnesota	4.679.039	6,351,000	+ 32%	4,181,610		% } + +
Mississippi		3,207,000	1 30 %	25.51.50		140/
Wissouri	4,855,848	6,612,000	%% + -	3,551,200		% + 1
Montana	1 804 153	2,229,000	% 1	1 400,800		%6 +
Navada	215	443,000		136,764		+117%
New Hampshire	773,428	1,237,000	+ 59%	647,590		+103%
New Jersey	3,256,409	5,714,000		2,509,000		+ 78%
New Mexico	1,044,273	1,569,000		1,045,970		+ 41%
New York	14,849,526	23,770,000		11,133,286		%66 + +
North Carolina	961,158	1,343,000		856.135	•	+ 56%
Ohio	9,113,816	13,591,000	+ 49%	6,040,322	8,831,000	+ 46%
Okiahoma	3,362,651	4,482,000		2,1/0,3/5		%07 +
Oregon	2.472.847	3,946,000	+ + %%	6.784.490		%5% + +
Rhode Island	1.041.410	1,507,000	+ 44%	782,260		+ 32%
South Carolina	1,564,132	2.695.000	+ 72%	1,340,940		+ 47%
South Dakota	945	1,324,000	+ 40%	923,890	Ŋ.	+ + ·
Tennessee	964	5,322,000	, .	3,325.040	7	%2% + 45%
lexas	1,213,700	2.266.000	+104%	1,228.410	56	+ 53%
Vermont	2	903.000	42	526.980	0	+123%
Virginia	734	4,919,000	79	6	3,452,000	+ 71%
Washington	8	5,823,000	σ,	2,449,987	ဖွ	+ 75%
West Virginia	815	2,688,000	D G	41. γχ	ž	+108%
Wyoming	4,007.322	577,000	+ 38% +	382	23	- 4%

Funds for the Basic Educational Opportunity Grants program were obligated for the first time in 1973 In some states, the funds were also distributed to graduate and proprietary students and institutions



TOURCE: EDUCATIONAL POLICY RESEARCH CENTER, STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

33.

· -						DEGREE	-CREDIT		
٠,,	•	ALL STUDENTS	1		TOTAL	•		RESTORNT	
STATE OR OTHER AREA	10,71			MUN			NUR	BER	
	MEN	WOREN	FTE	. 154	March	\$7 6	MEN	MOMEN	FTE
<u> </u>						<u> </u>	<u></u>		4 411 17
AGGREGATE U. S					3,404,840			3,442,702 5,401,054	
STATES AND D.C	5.230,757	3,476,103	7.253.739	4.700.622	3,564,435				
LABAMA	47,374	51,301	101.645	62,976	47,434	95,504	42,364	44,749	94,76
LASKA	6,744	. 6,996	7.049	. 4,438	4,939	4,407	6.058 58.109	44,744	79.9
#120H4	- 10,706	53,014	. 90.414	62.312	40,194	82,319 44,491	28,447	21,721	45,0
RXMS6S . ,	30,220 780,845	23,703 594,431	47,924	29,327 627,495	22,941 448,510	766,357	597,467	430, 636	749.9
AL (FORM)			•	•	40.484	48,434	43.441	47,082	97.1
OLOMOO	73,273	55,000	107.971	64,314	.50,454 57,389	100,237	71.621	56,803	99,7
OMMECTICUT		57,585	100,979	72,574	10.731	10.010	11,259	0.017	17.3
CLAVARE	15,085	12.672	21, 313 99, 963	47,740	32,153	59,742	42,613	29,620	54,1
ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA LORIOA		32,5 89 104,471	207.749	134,025	96,948	186,757	130.794	92,001	104,3
Equipment 1						114.951	74.320	, 57.125	115.4
#COG[A		41,817	120,051	77.353	58,706 15,682	314,951 29,455	18,554	15.274	20.0
쓰네		10,004	35,210	19,507 *17,650	14,163	26,887	16,020	13,517	24.4
SANO.	19,992	15, 135	29,245 370,944	234,941	181,903	331,174	227,497	173.095	325.7
MDIMA		214,740	165,165	111,463	42,449	159,503	109-472	80, 254	158,3
			,		41 417	11,423	55.515	40.574	87.9
DM	43,434	44,034	99,497	54,417 60,417	41,617	91,247	58,788	42,205	87,1
AMSAS	42,376	45,482	44,029 89,789	57,454	45,196	05,922	55,705	43, 185	84,7
ENTUCKY	59,475	48,503 51.007	115,406	73,591	507004	119,492	72,478	57,642	113,4
ouisiama		14, 617	30,171	10,411	14.103		17,478	13.250	27.4
					66,270	111,251	82,957	64,640	110.2
MRYLANG .'	. 93,184	74,407	122,650 257,724	84,451 172,360	129,384		140,484	117,444	234,
MSSACHUSETTS	. 102, 987 . 231,972	130,952	300.046	1964495	150.933	269.138	191,443	143,774	265.2
HCHIGAN		40,939	130,434	07,952	67,700	133,139	78,577	59,924	127.0
HIMMESOTA		37,648	70,802	39,466	35,353	66,156	30,451	34,078	45,4
		** ***	- 150,030	102.774	75.444	149,944	100.884	73.917	148.
ilssouel		80,052 11,740	25,219	14,013	11,290		15,351	10.543	24.0
MONTAKA			54,215	\$5,904	27,257		35,140	24,344	53.
MBAASKA			12,499	0.215	4,150		6.002	4,020	11.
NEW HAMPSHIFE			27,676	17.234	12.295	~ 27,114	16,233	11.346	26.4
	. 133, 157	107,734	177.012	124,197	100.242	145,245	120,449	94,877	162,0
My seasey			37,444	27.402	19,404	36,473	24.548	10,423	35.
MEN MEXICO			671.142	457,250	380,431		452,551	376,290	440.
NOW YORK			175,147	87,714		142.370	95,667	45,843 11,090	23.
BRTH BAROTA	. 17,359		24,519	15.417	11,739	24,279	14,442		
≫10	. 226,697	163,574	326,000	204.514	146,240		204.010	147.657	
KLMOM8			100,098	71,165			40,359	44,512	
DREGON			73,843	53,143			225,364	37,972 157,654	
PERMEYLYMIA	. 247,493		344,644	231,094 28,148			23.147	10,034	
MADE I SLAND	. 29,071	20,433	,,,,,,	200.00		_			70.
SOUTH CAROLING	. 14.099		79.01.7	44,337			44.074 14.781		
SOUTH GARGTA	. 16,145	12,759	25, 200	15,015			01,443		174.
TEMMESSOE	. 84,531	62,760	120,410	01,951	61,240		256,800		
rezas	. 267,441	198, 241	3 9 2,644 71,074	241.477 42.528	105,140		39,761		. 62.
VTAH	. 40,220		1						21.
venum	. 13,194		22,962	13,144			12,403		
viaginia	. 99,34	79,934	139,787	83,839			03,341		125.
MASHEMSTON	. 104,744	86,376		84,572 34,023			33.014		
Best Virginia	. 35,465		54,629 179,980	79.345			96,627	73.045	149.
wisconsia				4.152			7,608		11.
M.S. SERVICE SCHOOLS				14.774	. •	14,770	16,776		16,
		45,782	67,416	34,457	42,405	42,776	33,412		
TLYING ARYAS				420	420	420	420		
CHALL ICHE				600	735		480		
SUAN	1,770	1,581	2,701	1.570	1,420		1.357		
AMERIO RICO	. 33,444	41,895	62,389	31,447			*:.119		
TRUST TEAR PAC IS		31		90			242		
VIRGIN ISLANDS		1,120	474	242	220				

FALL ENROLLMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION 1972
National Center for Education Statistics
U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare/Education Division



ASSESSMENT AND STATE OR OTHER MEASURE	ALL INSTITUTIONS.	AGGREGATE WITED STATES.	PALL 1972

14 E E-C	# f017 CO	NT I HU EO					EGREE-CRE					
-	XTERSION			TOTAL			ESTORNT			XTENSION		STATE OR OTHER AREA
NK.PM	en		MUNI	NER	FTE	HUME	ER	FTE	M.M S		PTE	
(h	MOMEN	#1 6	HFRL	MBMOW		MEM	MOHEN	,	MEN	MONEN		
1	164+138	99,044	940,823	415.045	611.244	516.246	391,958	544.424	24.577	23.087		AGGREGATE U. S.
	169,379	98,550	530,135	411.640	606,609	514.004	389.396	912,404	24.131	22,272	16,222	THE STATES AND D.C.
mı	485	122	4,348	3, 447	4,341	4,346	3.947	4,341	:	:	:	ALABAMA
344	558	284	141	57	160 8,0 15	111 8,394	57 4.858	8.095	-	-	-	ARIZONA
.203	3,410	2,364 671	8,396	4 + 658 762	1.433	843	762	1,433	•		1.785	ARKANSAS
178	1.220 37.472	14.387	152.550	126,421	154,151	149.421	122.807	152,383	3. 129	3,414		
,443	3.504	1,444	6,959	5.226	9:335	6.933	5.153	9,2 99 633	26 292	75 36	36 109	COLORADO
953	584	513	853	196	742 2,983	561 822	140 1.312	2.050	731	429	453	DELAWARE
.277	1.914	1,411	1,553	1 : 941	221	121	321	102	2	115	39	BISTRICT OF COLUP
4947 4274	2.333	2,778	14,102	15.023	21.012	14.102	15.023	21,012	-	• •	-	
.025	1,563	1.278	2,004	3,111	3,100	2.009	3.111	3,900	75	33	34	MAYAII
,633	400	545	4.151	3.122	5.755	4,077	3.089 503	5.719 2.047	ากำ	444	311	10AHO
830	646	441	2,347	972 32.637	2,354 39,79 8	1,565	29.806	32,443	1,921	3.031	1.127	ILLINGIS
.011	20 395	5,615" 1.445	34,703	2,466	5.342	4,584	2:344	5,248	220	122	114	IMBIAMA. c
		487	7.017	4,419	11.874	7.616	4,419	11.074	1	-	-	ICMA
100	1.243	1.273	1.959	1.649	2,773	1.427	1,421	2,739	152	25	34	RENTUCKY
**	2,611	1,174	2.021	3.307	3,867	2.021 1.791	3,307	3,867	-		-	LOUISTANA
433	1,042	841 884	1.791	323 914		1.417	347	1.732	106	167	75	•
		968	8-453	8,637	11.999	0,342	8.385	11,426	291	252	179	MARYLAND
.074	1,940	8.213	10.627	9,548	15.405	7,775	7,839	15.879	2,892 503	1,729	1.524 537	
1,432	7,199	3.842	35.077	23,763		34,574 93	23.224	30.421 760	1,021	523	515	MINNESOTA
515		9.473 742	1.114			2.890		4,607	52	65	39	HISSISSIPPI
		1,280	6,023		8,044	5,474	4.207	7,960	127	201	106	
L, 990 642		433	442	442	714	442		7 94 2, 429	:		_	*
794	011	477	1.668			1,440		1,074	24	71		MEYADA
213 1 ,06 1		107	1,445 517			517		540		-	-	
		3,160	8,760	7,492	11.767	8.184		11,554	571	20		
3.948 1.254		611	724	543		6.764		970 7,684	. 4	-	-	HEN YORK
4,611	0.14L	2,849	8.764 24.677	4,033		21,299		30, 935	3,370		1,834	
1,847	2,175	1,684	1,742	647		1,621		2.232	121	•	• •	
424		399	20.183	15'.314	24,420	20.144		24,376	37	**		
2.344		1.225	1.564	1.724	2.115	13,482	1.724		2,764	4,264		OREGON
1.768	2.297		16.251	13,544		14,633		22, 31 \$	1,764	444		
5,732	2,573		18,597			731			. 184			
			9.762	5.784	8,443	9.641			73			SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH CAROTA
1,634			330	34.	664	330			:			- TEINIESSEE
441	871	380	2,580			2.580			412	110		
2.747						5,299			405	169	190	
			•			52					2 1	
54 28 T				7,16	0 15.221	11,960			723			- WASHINGTON
1,23	2,438	1,154	22.17			22.17						- WEST VIRGINIA.
1.007	7 1.654	714				25.47	15,591	29.109	1,361	20	5 55	S WISCONSIN
2.73							1.094	1,961		•	-	•
	٠.			-					•	•	-	- W.S. SERVICE SC
-	- 5 754		2,44	8 3,37	7 4,640	2.24	2 2,547	4.220	444		5 42	O DUTLYING AREAS . AMERICAN SAMOA
54	• 7	-	•	-			•	: :	•			O CANAL ZONE
	•		. 6		- 26 1 317		0 10	317		<	-	- BUM
21										•	<u>.</u>	- TRUST TERR PAC
31				-	_					ā \ 81		



TABLE VIII

FIVE FEDERAL BASED PROGRAMS FISCAL 1973

Dollars Per FTE Student	\$230.55	•	225.49	223.08	219.34	218.10	216.90	208.98	205.91	205.41	203.96	203.50	201.72	196.46	180.92	177.82	175.54	172.92	172.56	•	<u>ي</u>		ж .Э	ر ر	142.33	
	Kansas	Massachusetts	Kentucky	Oregon	Georgia	Louisiana	Idaho	Florida	Wyoming	Missouri .	California	Virginia .	Tennessee	Ohio .	Arizona	Michigan	Nevada	Oklahoma .	District of Columbia	Alaska	Utah	Hawaii . ,	North Carolina (South Carolina /	Delaware (•
.,		•			-7	•		•	•	,							,		,						,	
Rank	. 27	28	29	30	33	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	.49	20	51	
Dollars Per FTE Student	\$602:20	574.27	463.38				372.46														ė	249.00	. 248.66	246.08	237.78	230.64
	Illinois	North Dakota	South Dakota		xico	/ Connecticut	/ Minnesota	New Jersey	Pennsylvania	New York	Montana	Iowa	Nebraska	* Rhode Island	Colorado	Indiana	Mississippi	Wisconsin	Texas	Vermont	Alabama	Maryland	West Virginia	New Hampshire	Washington	Arkansas
Rank	<u>د</u> .	`X.	I ო	4	ى .	9		ω	თ	10		12]3	14.	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	22	56

CHE 3-3-75

TABLE IX

BASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS FISCAL 1973

Dollars Per FTE Student	**************************************	5.4	\$ 5.00 \$ 4.93 \$ 4.76 \$ 4.73	\$ 4.65 \$ 4.54 \$ 4.54 \$ 4.54	\$ 4.19 \$ 4.11 \$ 3.82 \$ 3.67	\$ 3.32 \ \$ 3.26 \$ 2.57 \$ 1.72 \$ 1.61
. 1		Myoming	Missouri Michigan Colorado Alaska Ohio	California Massachusetts Rhode Island Arizona Florida	Washington Idaho New Hampshire Indiana Washington D.C.	Wisconsin Connecticut Nevada Utah Hawaii
Rank	27 28	30 30 , 31	32 33 35 36	37 38 39 40 41	42 43 45 46	47 48 49 50 51
Dollars Per FTE Student	200	\$12.43 \$11.51 \$11.42	\$10.63 \$10.03 \$ 9.96 \$ 9.76 \$ 9.47	\$ 9.32 \$ 9.03 \$ 8.90 \$ 8.46	\$ 7.99 \$ 7.73 \$ 7.66 \$ 7.43 \$ 7.14	5 6.96 5 6.91 5 6.91 5 6.85 6.38
	Mississippi South Dakota	Alabama Arkansas New Mexico	Louisiana South Carolina Georgia Kentucky North Carolina	Oklahoma New York North Dakota · Tennessee Texas	Maine Nebraska Pennsylvania Kansas New Jersey	West Virginia Minnesota Vermont Oregon Iowa Virginia
Rank	7 7 7	ო 4 დ	6 ' 7 8 9	11 12 13 14	16 17, 18, 20	21 23 24 25 26

· 4.

CHE 3-3-75

- 37 - 37

TABLE X COLLÉGE WORK STUDY FISCAL 1973

Dollars Per FTE Student	. \$36.00 35.99 35.51 35.20 35.19	34.88 34.34 33.92 32.85 32.78	32.71 32.62 31.94 31.80	31.04 30.55 30.28 29.24	28.87 28.70 27.67 26.58 24.12
	Nevada Nebraska Florida New Jersey Oklahoma	Pennsylvania Missouri Ohio Maryland Colorado	Indiana Vermont Michigan Idaho District of Columbia	Hawaii Washington Kansas Connecticut Delaware	Rhode Island New York Arizona California Utah
Rank	27 28 29 30 31	, 33 34 35 36	37 38 39 40 41	42 43 44 45 46	47 48 49 50 51
Dollars Per FTE Student	\$90.35 83.31 70.07 69.00 56.97	56.45 54.70 53.51 53.15 52.88	. 52.61 49.55 47.99 , 47.02	. 46.71 46.53 46.44 . 44.20 41.81	40.23 40.11 39.76 39.38 36.99 36.18
٠	Maine Montana Arkansas Mississippi	South Carolina New Hampshire West Virginia Kentucky Georgia	Louisiana North Dakota New Mexico South, Dakota Minnesota	North Carolina Alaska Tennessee Massachusetts Oregon	Wisconsin Virginia Wyoming Iowa Illinois Texas
Rank	<u>,</u> - a w 4 r	0 / 8 e 0 j	12 13 15	11 18 19 20	21 22 23 25 25 26

38

TABLE XI

ş

GUARANTEED STUDENT LOANS FISCAL 1973

Dollars Per FTE Student	\$109.78	104.82	99.15	98.41	97.12	95.08	94.63	90.53	90.29	90.07	89.07	84.48	81.51	80,14	77.77	74.11	68.14	65.76	64.04	57.54	46.19		ഹ	23.79	•	
	Alabama Florida	Oregon	Mississippi	Missourí	Virginia	New Hampshire	Wyoming		/ Arizona	Georgia	Ohio	Louisiana	Utah	Tennessee	Nevada	Arkansas	Hawaii		District of Columbia	0klahoma	Delaware	North Carolina	Alaska	South Carolina		
Rank	. 27	29	30	33	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	⊅ ,\$\\	4 گ	46	47	48	49	20	51		
							•			-	•															
Dollars Per FTE Student	\$492	298.44	298.05	269.11	267 57	259.36	256.01	226.18	200 32	204.03	203.86	196.60	195.59	193:38	170.75	165.93	A49.14	144.89	136.81	132.98	. 123.15	\sim	111.87	111.27	111.23	
•	Illinois	Connecticut	South Dakota	New Jersey	New Mexico	New York	Pennsylvania	Minnesota,	Dhodo Island	Coloradó	Maine	Nebraska	Indiana	Iowa	Montana	Texas	Maryland	Wisconsin	Washington	Vermont	Kansas	California	West Virginia	Idaho	Massachusetts	•
•										-		ب	:								•				-	
Rank	- ~	ı ω	4	2	9	^	ω	٠ ص	ָ רַ	2=	12	<u> </u>	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	56	

}

CHE 3-3-75

Proprietary school enrollments were not reported although some monies may have gone to students attending proprietary schools.

TABLE XII

NATIONAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS FISCAL 1973

Dollars Per FTE Student	\$40.65 \$40.64 \$39.41 \$39.33 \$39.04	\$38.73 \$38.57 \$38.44 \$38.11 \$37.83	\$37.82 \$36.22 \$36.17 \$35.44 \$35.42	\$35.36 \$35.19 \$35.08 \$35.05 \$33.93	\$33.65 \$33.45 \$32.28 \$31.88 \$55.82
	Georgia Wisconsin · Maine Vermont Texas	North Carolina Colorado Illinois Washington Rhode Island	Florida Maryland Washington D.C. Nevada New York	Hawaii Virginia Connecticut Delaware South Carolina	California Arizona New Jersey Utah Alaska
Rank	27 28 29 30 31	32 33 34 35 36	37 38 39 40 41	, 44, 45, 45, 465,	48 49 50 51.
Dollars Per FTE Student	\$52.54 \$50.96 \$50.64 \$49.03 \$48.73	\$48.21 \$47.55 \$47.24 \$46.43 5	\$45.45 \$44.78 \$44.70 \$43.78 \$43.09	\$42.38 \$42.04 \$42.00 \$41.88 \$41.84	\$41.68 \$41.58 \$41.44 \$41.16 \$40.88
·	South Dakota Iowa North Dakota West Virginia	Nebraska Arkansas Minnesota Mississippi Kentucky	Indiana Oklahoma New Hampshire Kansas Alabama	Michigan Oregon Louisiana New Mexico Missouri	Ohio Idaho Tennessee Pennsylvania Massachusetts Wyoming
Rank .	- 2 m 4 m	6 8 9 10	11 12 13 14	16 17 18 19 20-	21 22 23 24 25 26

CHE 3-3-75

Proprietary school enrollments were not reported although some monies may have gone to students attending proprietary schools.



SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS FISCAL 1973,

Dollars Per FTE Student	\$26.61 . 26.57 . 26.56 . 26.32 . 26.31	26.16 26.09 25.96 25.91 25.83	25.73 25.73 25.73 25.64 25.61 25.24	24.39 . 24.98 . 24.70 . 23.76 .
•	Utah Connecticut Connecticut Pennsylvania Delaware Kentucky	Colorado Massachusetts Oklahoma Rhode Island Kansas New York	Georgia California Wyoming Florida Texas New Jersey Tennessee Maryland	Arizona South Carolina Virginia Nevada Hawaii
Rank	. 27 28 29 30 31	322 333 34 37,7 38	39 40 42 44 45 45 46	47 48 49 50 51
Dollars Per FTE Student	\$78.39 64.55 52.86 51.39 50.45	47.93 47.51 45.25 44.76 39.40 37.34	33.91 32.81 30.33 28.44 28.38 28.14 28.12	\$\)\frac{27.50}{27.40}\] \(27.40\) \(27.21\) \(27.12\) \(27.16\) \(27.06\)
	Maine Alaska/ South Dakota Vermont North Dakota	Wisconsin New Hampshire Minnesota Mississippi New Mexico District of Columbia Iowa	Alabama Michigan North Carolina Illinois Louisiâña Idaho Washington Montana	Oregon Arkansas West Virginia Nebraska Indiana Ohio
Rank	- 0 W 4 W	60 12 12	13 16 17 19 20	22 23 24 25 25 26

CHE 3-3-75

In view of public statements that the Council on Higher Education has used inaccurate information in this area, we felt it necessary in this instance to recheck the tuition and fee study conducted by the NASULGC. In conjunction with the verification of this data, questions were also asked concerning the following subjects:

The composition (makeup) of the total student charges in terms of support for:

- -Operating Costs
- -Capital Expenditures
- -Special Student Activities and Services Fees.

The extent of any differential charged to graduate students.

Whether or not lower fees are charged to resident Vietnam veterans.

Plans for increasing tuition and fees for 1976-7/.

Since no regularly published information exists regarding community college tuition and fees, the data employed by the Council was based upon telephone inquiries to the respective western states, with the exception of Oregon. Tuition and fee charges for Oregon community colleges were taken from the 1974-75 publication of Mapping Your Education. The survey was as extensive as possible and in our opinion reflected actual practice within the respective states.

In addition to allegations that the information used by the Council on Higher Education in its report on tuition and fees was "inaccurate", persons testifying against HB 453 have cited published data from the Chronicle on

Mapping Your Education, 1974-75. Douglas McClane, Editor. Abbot, Kerns, & Bell Company, 1974.